

AUCSA Board Year 2011-2012

Minutes (General Assemblies)

As written by:

Gijs Stapel, **Secretary** *May 2011 - January 2012*

Sarafien Hiel, **Secretary** *January 2012 - June 2012*

MINUTES 1ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

11 October 2011

Opening

Roelant:

We are about to start. There is a book passing around for signatures and names so that we know how many attendees there are.

The AUCSA board is briefly introduced.

Roelant outlines the procedure of the GA:

The GA is open to questions, but everybody is requested to walk up front such that everybody will know and be able to hear the person that is speaking. Gijs will write down the names in the minutes.

Regarding voting procedures— the room is divided in the quarters. Everybody is requested to keep their hands held up high during voting to facilitate counting.

R=Roelant

L=Louis

A=Ad-Willem

Sequential ordering of the agenda

Roelant:

There has been a slight change in the agenda. Nothing major, only that some committees are switched around. Please share the agendas lying around.

Proposal honorary member: Martijn Hagoort

Roelant:

A tradition in organizations is to make people honorary members as a result of exceptional contribution to making AUCSA a success. Martijn Hagoort as Chair of the previous board of AUCSA is proposed as Honorary member.

Q (1): Roelant: Does anyone want to vote?

A (1): *No one wants to vote, Martijn has been made an Honorary Member*

Establish new Advisory Council

Roelant:

The Advisory Council helps and advises the AUCSA Board. We propose the following 3 members: 2 of the old AUCSA Board, Martijn Hagoort and Marianna van der Stel, and Tereza Sukopova as a more independent member.

Q (2): How did you come up with 3 members?

A (2): R: The number of members is in the Statutes.

Q (3): For how long will they be in this Advisory Board?

A (3): R: They will be appointed for one year.

Q (4): What is the Advisory Council actually? What do they do?

A (4): R: They can always advise us and they facilitate communication for people who cannot or will not approach the AUCSA Board directly.

Q (5): Where is the Policy Manual?

A (5): R: The policy manual is on your USB, on the website and you can ask us.

Roelant asks if people want to vote—but it is a unanimous decision not to.

R: I would like to establish the proposed members as the new Advisory Council.

Martijn Hagoort, Marianna van der Stel and Tereza Sukopova elected as the new Advisory Council.

Proposal Audit Committee

Roelant:

The Audit committee is a committee that ‘checks our pockets’. Last year, the audit committee did not do their task, so unfortunately we cannot present the budget of last year. At the next GA, we will change this such that the budgets will be presented.

We propose Reinier and Strauss. Belinda Stratton, of the AUC management, will not do the audits, but she has agreed to help the students mentioned.

Q (6): Who are the people?

A (6): *Reinier and Strauss stand up.*

Q (7): How did you come to those names?

A: (7): R: They both have economic experience and were not involved in the AUCSA Board.

Q (8): Is this for half a year only?

A (8): R: Normally they're for a year, but this time it is especially meant for last year's budget.

Q (9): One of the members is an exchange student, how does that work?

A (9): The auditing is done before December.

Q (10): Are the members approached?

A (10): There is no outline for this process yet, but I'm willing to make a procedure. And yes, they were approached for this function.

Q (11): Make this more transparent?

A (11): Again, we are trying to create an outline for this procedure.

Roelant calls for vote—none is needed.

Set the Audit Committee.

Committee Budgets:

Roelant:

We now go to the committees; we have a total of 17. We have puzzled to fit all these committees together in our budget. Separate invoice for AUCSA was discussed, but has not yet implemented. For now we get 25 euros per student, but we are working to change this as requested by the previous GA. Only in the end, with the vote, we can approve/disprove the entire budget, as we will not vote on committee budgets separately.

We will now move on with the first committee.

AIMUN

Roelant:

Last year AIMUN was active in mock sessions and in going to MUN conferences. They went to a number of different places.

Explanation of budget.

No questions

Newspaper

Roelant:

The newspaper posts weekly articles on their website www.myauc.nl.

Explanation of budget.

Q (12): How do you see promotion for the newspaper? Donald

A (12): R: Last year they did a donut day. Mick Ter Reehorst: We are looking into printing the newspaper (monthly/weekly), we want sponsors to cover those costs. This money is just to promote our website, also if we want to write a review about a restaurant, film, something like that.

Q (13): How can we see the budget?

A (13): R: The budget is in your student e-mail, we sent it last week. If not, we will send it to you again.

We should look into providing students with a final version of the budget.

Meditation

Roelant:

This is a new committee set up this year.

Explanation of budget.

Q (14): Are they hiring people to give workshops?

A (14): R: Yes, they ask people for the workshop, they will be paid because they are experts.

Q (15): What exactly will be decorated?

A (15): R: The decoration materials need to be replaceable and moveable, so you can meditate everywhere (not bound to a common room).

Q (16): Who initiated this?

A (16): John Steinmark initiated the committee (together with Daniel Molloy, who isn't present).

OnStage

Roelant:

Summary of previous activities and current projects: dance group, theatre etc. Explanation of budget.

Q (17): I think this is an association that would be able to raise income themselves, by selling tickets?

A (17): R: We don't expect the income, because it's not a guarantee. Last year it wasn't very popular, so we keep it for free.

Q (18): You would not give money for committees to provide food and drinks, but OnStage does get funds for this?

A (18): R: Normally we don't sponsor drinks, but as this is not often and drinks will indeed bring more people there, we decided to make an exception. Barbara and Kyra: We have the dance and theatre group paying a part for themselves. And we try to get as many people there as possible.

Q (19): New committees get less? Were there any events last year for AUC Onstage?

A (19): R: Last year there were workshops (pole dancing) and an Open Stage Night. We can base the budget on previous success and as such established committees tend to get more.

AISA

Roelant:

AISA has regular teams, football and hockey and basketball is being set up.

Explanation of budget, most notably Universum costs, introduction of the Inter-AUC tournament and the printing of more shirts.

Q (20): Why is there such a big difference between indoor and outdoor?

A (20): L: The outdoor field is meant for twice a week (Mondays and Wednesdays). Everyone who plays also pays, because AUCSA only pays half of the rent.

Q (21): What is the assumption of 100 T-shirts based on?

A (21): R: It would be great for UC-tournaments if everyone could play in the same shirt.

Q (22): Is the 200 euros for hockey purely for the space? How about projected income?

A (22): R: No, also for entry in the competitions. The projected income is the money the soccer players will pay themselves.

Environmental

Roelant:

This committee was started last year. Explanation of budget.

Q (23): Wouldn't it be nicer to have the lines indoors, since it will rain?

A (23): R: During the summer it will be dry. If you have any suggestions where to put the lines, contact Merel.

Q (24): How is the 4 times Down to Earth Dinner calculated?

A (24): R: We did not grant them money for the Down to Earth dinners, they raise the money themselves, from which they can pay everything.

Excursions

Roelant:

This committee wants to start organizing trips to museums and parks. We will help them with raising money.

Q (25): Are there any plans for excursions so far?

A (25): R: There are no plans for excursions yet. Felix: Night time observations with an astrophysicist in the Flevopark. We also want to go to National Parks, but we cannot get any money for transportation costs, so we're trying to find a solution for that. R: Their excursions will be planned on the website.

Every committee will soon get a spot on the website; contact information will also be available there soon.

AUCDS

Roelant:

Explanation of budget and previous events organized.

Q (26): What's their total net profit?

A (26): Net profit is €2185,47.

Q (27): How many people will go to the Belgrade tournament?

A (27): Donald: Maximum of three teams (6 people) and 2 judges (2 people), or perhaps two teams (4 people) and one judge (1 person).

Q (28) Why is there no income?

A (28): Donald: Fundraising is done by participation fees of for instance the Buddy Tournaments, the tournaments we host. Louis: We always encourage fundraising in all committees. Roelant: It's proven to be quite hard.

Q (29): It seems a bit weird that an excursions committee cannot go to a park, but that debating can go to Belgrade?

A (29): R: We never sponsor transport in general, because it's hard to define and to balance it. We only pay the participation fees, and we think it is different from excursions. Debating is regular and also competitive. AUC can prove itself there.

Q (30): You are not paying for traveling expenses?

A (30): R: Indeed, we never pay transport costs. That's why we recommend entering competitions in the Netherlands, so the barrier for the participants is smaller.

Garden

Roelant:

Location of garden and explanation of budget.

Q (31): Off-topic, about the chickens and rats? There are rats in the chicken coop?

A (31): Not answered, not related to topic.

Q (32): Are the chicken coops being improved?

A (32): The chickens are separate from the Garden committee. It's a private chicken fund; it doesn't have anything to do with the committee.

Q (33): Will they be selling vegetables for income?

A (33): They will not be selling the vegetables for income, but the garden committee members can use the vegetables.

Break – 10 minutes

Once again, please sign in the book as we now start with the second half of the budget presentations; it helps us to keep track of how many people there are in the room.

MAPS

Roelant:

This is a committee that exists exactly one year, organized some guest lectures last year.
Explanation of budget.

Q (34): What kind of people have been doing the guest lectures so far?

A (34): John: We didn't have any guest lectures yet. We will have scholars, people from the music industry. If you have any nice ideas, contact us? Roelant: There are also students who are interested in lecturing.

Q (35): What is the point of a guest lecture in music?

A (35): R: We're open for more music committees, if you want to perform on stage.

Q (36): Could you reserve money for instruments?

A (36): R: It's hard because it is very expensive, but we will consider proposals.

Q (37): Is the guest lecturer an external guest?

A (37): Roelant and John: For students, but also for external people if you like.

Comment about potential AUC onstage cooperation with MAPS from Kyra.

Yearbook

Roelant:

They want to make a yearbook that is focused on the 3rd years, but everyone is more than welcome to buy the books. Explanation of budget.

Q (38): This is a third-year yearbook and second-years are organizing it?

A (38): R: None of the third-years approached us yet, but we are still searching for third-years.

Solace

Roelant:

Solace Party and Excursion Committee has been renamed to just Solace. Explanation of Solace budget and previous and planned parties for this year.

Q (39): As a sign of goodwill for Solace, maybe they should get some more contribution?

A (39): R: They received extra money for the introduction party and for the final party they have a larger budget. I see your gesture, but it's hard to execute it.

Q (40): They have no security (financially)?

A (40): Quico: We don't win money but we don't lose money either. AUCSA always covers unexpected expenses. Roelant: Individual people are never held responsible, that's in the committee contract.

Q (41): Do you have a buffer amount?

A (41): Yes, reserved funds.

Donald: I think we should have good deals with Solace such that the income from this committee can be used for AUCSA.

Q (42): Please clarify location costs?

A (42): R: Locations are hard to find, especially because we have so many students now. Quico: Even for Halloween we're already going to be above the 1200 Euros, because it's really hard to find something for so many people. We need a club then, and they charge a lot. Because we grew, we need more money for parties.

Website

Roelant:

Website is an important source of information for Student Council and committees. Calendar is on there, as well as updates from committees.

Q (43): Could they put advertising on the website?

A (43): R: If we find a sponsor that wants to put a banner on the website, we'll definitely do that. The website is www.myauc.nl or www.aucsa.nl.

Introweek

Roelant:

Explanation of budget.

Q (44): Why do they buy pepper?

A (44): R: It's nice to have salt and pepper in your dinner and it's too much to provide for yourself.

Q (45): Since AUC is part of the UvA and the VU, isn't the VU or the UvA willing to put money in it?

A (45): R: We're looking into whether the UvA or VU will sponsor the introduction week. Quico: AUC has paid a lot as well, but that is not on the AUCSA budget. R: Searching for extra funding is always good however.

World Activists Programme (WAP)

Roelant:

This program is actually 2 proposals joined up in one. It contains committees that promote well-being and good causes, NGOs, etc. Projects now running are the Kalahari and Ghana initiatives. WAP would coordinate the actions of these service-oriented committees. They are an umbrella organization and as such we have granted them more promotion costs.

Q (46): How does that work if you don't work for the events themselves, but facilitate them?

A (46): Arja: We're going to have to find sponsors from outside, AUCSA only facilitates the events and promotion. It's start-up money for bigger events.

Q (47): So it's a start-up cost?

A (47): R: Yes, it's start-up money.

Q (48): The Kalahari Experience used all their budget last year? It was meant to be a start-up cost.

A (48): R: They only spent around 70 euros, but indeed we realised that it might not be viable to win everything back, that's why we now give the money as start-up facilitation.

Career Event

Roelant:

This is an event that will be hosted in Amsterdam, especially useful for 3rd year students. They hope to break-even, hence only the costs of 700 euros on the budget. Of course, it costs more, but the companies' contribution will pay all the organization costs back—to AUCSA.

Q (49): Is it true that this is organized with other UCs?

A (49): R: Yes, it is organised together with all the other UCs, but we are hosting it, so we will be the main payers, that's the tradition. We like the initiative and want to support it. We just had a UC-summit and it will be discussed more within the UCSRN framework.

Q (50): I don't understand why AUCSA has to pay for the career event since AUC has sponsors?

A (50): R: We tried the AUC sponsors, but we're not sure that they will indeed come.

Q (51): Usually students first do their Masters and then look for career? So why this event?

A (51): R: You don't always have to do your master before you start looking for a career, because you might want to have a look at what's possible already.

Q (52): When will it be?

A (52): R: The 8th of February.

Q (53): Is this the same as the Beta Career event?

A (53): R: No, it's the AUC Career Event

Q (54) Can you explain telephone costs?

A (54): R: Committees always make more costs than personal people. There is a list of 600 companies, so calling all of them might cost a lot.

PIC

Roelant:

Pictures in College=PIC. Explanation of budget. Uncertainty of revenue since it's new.

No questions, so we move on.

AUCSA Budget:

Roelant:

First we examine our own things, the stuff we need to run as an organization. Explanation of budget.

Q (55): Why is there so much money reserved for office supplies?

A (55): R: Office supplies is a general term for also cupboards and hard disks, which cost a lot. Also, as we're just a starting association, we don't have all the general stuff yet.

Q (56): What is the 'public holidays' entry?

A (56): R: We want to organize small events on public holidays, such as Sinterklaas, or to hide some Easter Eggs in the academic building.

Q (57): Can you clarify why the GAs budget 250 euros?

A (57): R: The drinks around you cost around 50 Euros, and we don't know how many GAs there will be— we expect at least three.

Q (58): What is the CoC contribution?

A (58): R: CoC means Chamber of Commerce.

Q (59): Why is the end-of-year BBQ only 100 euros?

A (59): R: Last year AUC paid the main part of that and we hope they'll do that again.

Q (60): What is the UC tournament budget if we don't organize it?

A (60): R: The UC-tournament will take place again, probably in Utrecht and it will take place every year. Last year we paid for busses and some dinner costs, so this amount covers that.

Merchandise

Roelant:

We already pre-sold quite a bit. T-shirts were bought last year.

Q (61): Regarding the T-shirts that AISA wants to buy, might it not be a good idea to put that in the merchandise?

A (61): R: T-shirts are important to keep track of, so for AISA they have more overview than we do.

Q (62): Why are the projected costs of the ties higher than the projected costs?

A (62): R: We don't expect to sell all of the ties this year. We didn't think it was a viable option.

Total budget:

Roelant:

P&L sheets and discussion of the meaning of the total income and costs (basically just the sum of all committees).

We have 'reserved funds' as a buffer and for new committees.

Long-term reserved funds are primarily kept back for big events in the future, such as the UC tournament, for example.

Q (63): Last year a skiing trip took place, is it possible that AUCSA will contribute this year?

A (63): R: That is what the reserved funds are for, if people come up with new ideas we consider them.

Q (64): Why are travel expenses budgeted?

A (64): R: We reserved some overall transport costs, for complete boards if they have to go somewhere; the international students don't have an OV card. It will probably not be used much, but we need to have it in the budget.

Q (65): *Question to Ad-Willem regarding the format of the budget:* Why the phrasing of positive net profit if most of it is actually loss? In favour of more transparency, could you include a more detailed version with income of the specific committees?

A (65): A: The 'net profit' is just a common accountant's expression, but you can always e-mail us with suggestions. And the money that students paid themselves is already in the budget.

Q (66): I can't see where the income is regarding the students' own payments. Do we get to see a more detailed version?

A (66): We agree, we should be more consistent with showing what the students pay for themselves. It doesn't matter for the actual budget, but it increases transparency indeed. You should be able to find all information on the website.

No further questions.

Let's then go to voting!

Voting:

No motions were introduced so we went straight to voting on the total budget.

Total budget vote:

Favour: 72

Against: 2

Abstain: 2

The budget passes with 95% of the vote!

Q (67): How can we see on which days the committees plan their activities?

A (67): The Google-Calendar on the Website should provide for that.

AOB

Roelant:

Are there any further questions? None? Then this General Assembly is closed!

Closing

AND THE FIRST GA OF THIS YEAR IS OVER AND SUCCESSFUL!



MINUTES 2ND GA

6 December 2011

When motions (e.g. ‘voting on Motion 1’) are mentioned, please refer to the document *111206 – General Assembly Votes*.

Opening

Roelant welcomes the members attending the GA.

Sequential ordering of the agenda

Roelant sets the agenda for today’s GA:

- Opening
- Sequential ordering of the agenda
- Presentation of the 2010-2011 AUCSA Annual Report
- Voting on the Letter of the Audit Committee
- Election of the new Secretary
- Presentation of revised AUCSA Policy Manual and voting
- myauc.nl Calendar Explanation
- AOB
- Closing

Presentation of the 2010-2011 AUCSA Year Report

Roelant: I hope all of you have received the Year Report. We gave it to the AUC management and we sent it to all of you via e-mail. Are there any questions?

Q(1): Can you also provide a small summary of the Annual Report next time?

A(1): Roelant: : I can do a short overview now. We did very well. Not all committees spent their complete budget, so we might actually start over-budgeting next year. However, perhaps it’s good to decide that only after two years.

Voting on the Letter of the Audit Committee

Reinier (from Audit Committee): This year, Strauss and I were in the Audit Committee. We check the financial records, and we tell you (the members) if the AUCSA Board did a good job of accounting. We checked everything very carefully. Nothing escaped our hawk eyes. In general, everything was well-documented, and well in order. At the start of AUCSA, Solace had some gaps in accounting, but those problems have been resolved. In fact we have to vote about the financial report. You as a member, have to agree (or not) with the Audit committee.

Voting on Motion 1: Passed

Q(2):What do you check and what suggestions do you have?

A(2): Reinier: Two things. 1. All receipts have to be original, no copies. 2. Income statements, committees should keep track of how much their income is (ticket sales etc.).

Q(3): What are you going to do with the criticism of the Audit committee?

A(3): Roelant: We only budget income for committees if they have shown in the former year(s) that they can generate income indeed. Regarding the receipts, we might start to use a pin machine (the pilot will be during our first book sale in January). And finally, our tracking has become stricter.

Roelant: are there any more questions?

Election of new Secretary

There are two situations for elections, either that the entire Board is replaced (how that is done in the Policy Manual) or a vacancy occurs. This time it was a vacancy because Gijs is leaving to Melbourne. So we are allowed to propose one candidate. We had 5 applicants, we read their CVs and motivations, and we then invited them for interviews. Things we asked: What experience do you have? What is your motivation? How will you fit in with the current AUCSA board?

After careful deliberation, we would like to propose Sarafien Hiel as the new Secretary. Sarafien will now give a short speech to introduce herself.

Sarafien: introduction. I am glad to have this opportunity, even though you still have to vote on this. I am a 3rd year student at AUC. I work hard, I try to do everything to the fullest and I like to complete things. I want to be a part of this organization. I never felt that I would really give it my all in previous GAs, but now I have more time so I am glad to step in.

Q(4): Do you have any history in board positions? Or Secretary positions?

A(4): Sarafien: Not as a secretary, but I was event coordinator of AISA in the first year, and that's why I also think AUCSA is so important (because then it wasn't there).

Q(5): How fast can you type?

A(5): Sarafien: I don't know, that was not part of the interview.

Q(6): Why did you opt for proposing a member of your board, instead of for more 'democratic' elections?

A(6): Roelant: For a vacancy Article 8 of the Policy Manual applies, which says that we can appoint a new member for the position.

Q(7): Members have to be at least a member of AUCSA for one full academic year? How will this go with Sarafien then?

A(7): Roelant: We might change that rule in the next Policy Manual.

Q(8): Who thinks we can vote for an exception to this rule?

A(8): Roelant: If you want that, we can do so. Who votes on this exception?

Q(9) What is the exception?

A(9): Roelant: The exception is that we appoint someone who is only board member for half a year.

Q(10): What happens if Sarafien does not get elected?

A(10): Roelant: If Sarafien does not get elected, we will organize a new GA to propose a new Secretary.

Vote for an exception to the rule that an AUCSA Board member has to still be a member of AUCSA for a full year.

Voting on Motion 2: Passed

BREAK AND VOTING BY BALLOT FOR SECRETARY

Presentation of Revised Policy Manual

Roelant: Now I would like to give you the background to the changes to the Policy Manual. It was a direct rip from UCSA, and a lot of things that were in there did not carry over well for adoption by AUCSA. In a lot of cases, we explained things better and added things that we thought were unclear. We also changed the order of articles so that the progression makes more sense. In general, we hope that the Policy Manual is more structured.

Q(11): Were there any changes since the last e-mail?

A(11): Roelant: Since last e-mail we have been receiving e-mails quite continuously. Most were details (“hey, you need to put an ‘s’ here” etc.), and those were changed.

Roelant: What we will now do, is we will go through the Articles and vote on them one by one.

Q(12): Could you perhaps clarify more what changes are important?

A(12): Roelant: We just used the ‘Compare’ function of Word. But indeed, we can highlight the most important changes next time, and I will highlight them as we go through them.

Q(13): How many votes will occur during the Policy Manual revision?

A(13): Roelant: We’ll first walk through the articles, and then we’ll have 23 votes.

Marianna (from Advisory Council): we just counted the votes for Sarafien. Sarafien has been elected as the new Secretary of AUCSA.

Roelant: Congratulations!

Q(14): Who is the independent member of the Election Committee?

A(14): Roelant: This is the Advisory Council, not the Election committee. It works this way because it was a vacancy, not a new board.

Q(15): When is the official transition of Sarafien?

A(15): Roelant: From February 1st on.

Roelant: Then let's start with voting on the Policy Manual! First of all, we changed the spelling to be consistent with UK spelling.

Voting on Motion 3: Passed

Article 0

Roelant: We wanted to add an article on definitions. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 4: Passed

Article 1

Roelant explains changes.

Q(16): What is subsection 5?

A(16): *Roelant reads article 1.5 out loud.*

Q(17): Could you indicate when something is changed with regard to the rest of the Policy Manual?

A(17): Roelant: We'll try to do that.

Q(18): Do we vote for a whole set of the Policy Manual?

A(18): Roelant: No, we just only voted for Article 0 only.

Q(19): How specific can we vote?

A(19): Roelant: Yes, we can vote how specific as we want.

Voting on Motion 5: Passed

Article 2

Roelant explains changes.

Q(20): With regard to the Advisory Council, is it stated somewhere in the Policy Manual about how to contact them?

A(20): Roelant : I suggest we put that as an amendment to the Advisory Council's article.

Q(21): About Article 2.4. Should every committee have a spot on the website?

A(21): Roelant: Yes, the AUCSA board should always provide any committee with a spot on the website.

Q(22): What is the distinction between a committee and a team?

A(22): Roelant: In this Policy Manual with 'committees' we also mean 'teams', except for in the article of 'teams'.

Q(23): I want to have an amendment that states a team is not guaranteed a spot on the website.

A(23): Roelant: I think we can offer them the spot at least, then they can decide for themselves whether they need it.

Donald wanted to vote, but withdrew his appeal: Motion 6 rejected

Q(24): Why is this important to have these kinds of clauses?

A(24): Roelant: We want to have clear rules that everyone can read in one document.

Q(25): Are there teams that are not part of committees?

A(25): Roelant: There is an introweek team, which is independent of any committee. For every individual large event a team will be created, which is ended afterwards.

Q(26): I would like to propose to add "if requested" in the middle of Article 2.4, immediately following "on the AUCSA website".

A(26): Roelant: As a board we will always provide you with an e-mail address if you ask us, but sure we can vote on this change.

Amendment: Article 2.4 is amended to:

4. The committee should be allocated a spot on the AUCSA website **if requested** to which at least one member of the committee board should have access in order to update the members on their activities.

Voting on Motion 7: Accepted

Article 3

Roelant explains changes.

Q(27): What are the Statutes?

A(27): Roelant : That's a legal thing we have agreed with the CoC. Statutes are more fundamental than the Policy Manual.

Q(28) At point 3.7, shouldn't there also be 'student body'?

A(28): Roelant: Yes, but every AUC student is a member of AUCSA. And it's external parties rather than internal parties.

Q(29): Why do you think it is necessary to include "student associations"?

A(29): Roelant: It was included in the last policy manual, but it's good to have a connection to other student association (especially when we're at Science Park next year).

A proposal for an amendment to include members was put forth by the GA.

Amendment: Article 3.6 is added (shifting other numbers accordingly)

6. The AUCSA Board should communicate its practices clearly towards the members of AUCSA.

Voting on Motion 8: Accepted

Article 4

We added the Vice-Chair. *Roelant explains changes.*

Q(30): About Article 4.1, why does the Board have to have an odd amount of members?

A(30): Roelant: Legally, we should have a board consisting of 3, 5 or 7 members, because of voting.

Q(31): How about the vacancy issues?

A(31): Roelant: Yes, but we put in the new Policy Manual that a vacancy has to be filled within two months (for the time we will then have to do with an even board).

Q(32): The word 'should' in 3 and 4, what does it mean?

A(32):Roelant: It's kind of 'do it' in a nice way. It's just 'try as hard as you can'.

Q(33): One small remark about Article 2.7. Are alumni and graduates not the same? What is the difference?

A(33): Roelant: There is no difference, but the article is different. If you graduate you will get a certificate of how active you have been as a student. Graduates and alumni are the same people, but it's at a different moment in time (graduate—the moment you graduate; alumni— when you have already graduated).

Q(34): Can we add to the task list of Secretary, 'making abstracts of minutes'?

A(34): Roelant: It could be added, but it is not necessary, because it's already in another article. Gijs: The policy manual is also just guidelines, not an exhaustive list of what a person should do. Otherwise the office would never be cleaned.

Q(35): Are there any other changes that we should know about?

A(35): Roelant: No specific things, only that the tasks are guidelines. They won't change the world. We changed some phrasing and moved some stuff around to make it more structured.

Q(36): How is the Vice-Chair appointed?

A(36): Roelant: The appointment is decided internally, by the Board.

Voting on Motion 9: Accepted

Article 5

Roelant explains changes.

An amendment was proposed, adding mainly that the Advisory Council gets their own spot on the website, with names.

Amendment: Article 5.2 is added (shifting other numbers accordingly):

2. The AUCSA Board must make sure that the names of the Advisory Council are visible on the website.

Voting on Motion 10: Accepted

Q(37): What about the P.O. Box to contact the AUCSA Board?

A(37): Roelant: Our postal address is also on the website.

Q(38): So how will we get to contact the Advisory Council?

A(38): Roelant: We agreed that we would only put their names on the website, no e-mails, also not something else than @aucsa.nl address.

Q(39): About the Advisory Council and members in the Election committee both comprising of AUCSA Board members. I am afraid of dominance from AUCSA Board members.

A(39): Roelant: I think it is good to have ex-AUCSA board members in the Advisory Council, because they have experience in that field.

Q(39): I want to specify "other member" in Article 5.1.

A(41): Roelant: You mean adding 'and one member of AUCSA that has not been in the AUCSA board'?

Q(42): I have a question about 5.1. Can it be the case that the Advisory Council consists of members of the Board that is to be replaced?

A(42): Roelant: No. Because since the Advisory Council advises the AUCSA Board, and then we would Advise ourselves. Only former board members can be part.

Q(43): What happens if 4 members of the current AUCSA Board will graduate already?

A(43): Roelant: We cannot add any exception that might ever occur. We can vote on specific exceptions in General Assemblies.

Q(44): The vote of no confidence, should it not be moved to another section maybe? Like Article 21?

A(44): Roelant: We tried to have not too many articles. And the article you propose is about expulsion from AUCSA. The 'vote of confidence' was not in the last Policy Manual, and now we tried to have some guidelines for problem cases.

Amendment: Article 5.1, following "...the former AUCSA Board and..." changes to "one member of AUCSA who has not been in the AUCSA Board."

Voting on Motion 11: Accepted

Article 6

This proposal was also accepted at the first AUCSA GA, but it needs another vote. *Roelant explains changes.*

Q(45): Who chooses the 3rd member of the Election Committee who was not part of the Advisory Council?

A(45): Roelant: The idea is that when we have a conflict we would both propose someone, and then together choose the third person. The Advisory Council chooses this third person. You force them into choosing a person that is not yet in 'power' to make sure that there will be another voice in the process.

Q(46): The Advisory Council chooses that person, is that not a problem?

A(46): Roelant: We can change it. For instance: The Advisory Council exists of three persons and can only be approved as a whole in the GA.

Q(47): What about giving the committees some say in this?

A(47): Roelant: Then we would have to set up a framework for the committees for the voting, that would be possible.

Q(48): I have a proposal: the AUCSA Board gives a recommendation when elections are due. Everyone can then still vote on every person running.

A(48): Roelant: Let's first go onto Quico's suggestion regarding the formation of the Election Committee. You would need an Independent Council.

How the election committee is formed is discussed, and it turns out that the GA wants to create a different system for this.

Q(49): Should we just have another GA for elections?

A(49): Roelant: That's only twice a year and not all members are then present.

Q(50): Can we make a clause that at least 2 or 3 of the members of the Election Committee are not former AUCSA members?

A(50): Roelant: Everyone is a member of AUCSA, but we could indeed state that there should be only one AUCSA Board member in it, instead of two. We chose for two, because we think AUCSA board members know what is needed for their position. The people that proposed us last time were Bas, Sander and Emma. I think the problem is slightly overvalued, but we can vote.

Q(51): Wouldn't it be easier to have direct elections?

A(51): Roelant: First of all, we would have to change the Statutes. Also, AUCSA is different than Student Council. It is not about social status (popularity) and a particular viewpoint you represent, but about skills (and how someone fits into his/her position). It's also about creating good cooperation between the board members.

Q(52): Wouldn't it then be a good idea just to talk with the potential candidates and inform them of what positions they could function well in?

A(52): Roelant: That is kind of what we're doing now already. We recommend someone and people can vote on that. We can change the phrase 'opposition' indeed, if that is the problem. There is a fair share of democracy in AUCSA though, the GAs even offer direct democracy.

Q(53): Maybe it is a good idea, that at the GA at the beginning of the year, the third member of the Election Committee can propose himself at the GA, and is voted on? We could do this to have some more power for AUCSA members.

A(53): Roelant: At any moment that you have the feeling that we are doing something that is not advantageous to AUCSA members you can say so and propose a GA on the subject. You can create a motion if you don't agree halfway through the year.

Some discussion followed this, but most of it was in the audience and not directed at the Chair.

Q(54): Most of the time, the AUCSA Board proposes something, and then the AUCSA members usually agree. Isn't this bad?

A(54): Roelant: I don't think it is a bad thing if we approve of things in the way we're doing now.

Q(55): Amendment on Advisory council:

Suggested Amendment (but later retracted):

The Election committee consists of one member of the Advisory Council as chosen by the Advisory Council themselves, and consists of one member who is elected by all committee chairs and one person who is chosen by the other two.

Q(56): The Election committee has so much power, and this can only be reduced by making the Election Committee open. People do not feel like they can change much. This is about something that goes beyond the election.

A(56): Roelant: I see, but the reason why we do this is that we want to prevent a popular vote. This is different than the Student Council, because here you don't vote for a standpoint, but for someone's capabilities.

Q(57): The capabilities can also be judged by the students themselves.

A(57): Roelant: Then you would have to vote per position.

Q(58): It cannot be that functions are the reason why people have to be recommended?

A(58): Roelant: Whatever we decide, we would always vote per position. Also, voting on an AUCSA board is not voting on standpoints.

Q(59): We want to propose a change. Article 6.7: Applicants that are not proposed, should be notified of the decision and are still in the run for the elections as explained in Article 7 of this Policy Manual.

A(59): Roelant: The new phrasing of article 6.7:

Amendment: The new phrasing of Article 6.7:

7. Applicants who are not proposed as a member of the AUCSA board, should be notified of the decision and are then still in the running for the elections as explained in Article 7 of this Policy Manual, except if they withdraw. The proposed candidate is then only the Election Committee's recommendation. The Election Committee will recommend them during the GA.

Voting on Motion 12: Accepted

Q(60): Does every candidate then get the opportunity to campaign?

A(60): Roelant: Yes, all candidates have the right to campaign.

Q(61): They can only campaign during the GA?

A(61): Roelant: That will be decided on in Article 7, the procedure for board elections.

We move to voting on the entire Article.

Voting on Motion 13: Accepted

Article 7

Roelant: Article 7.4 is no longer relevant. We should vote to delete it.

Voting on Motion 14: Accepted

Amendment: Addition of Article 7.8 with subclause, which reads as follows:

9. Candidates can only campaign via their election statement.
 - a. The election statement will only be distributed by the AUCSA Board.

Voting on Motion 15: Accepted

Q(62): Is it possible to make the campaign text in written form?

A(62): Roelant: Then it would already be a campaign.

Q(63): How can campaigning be reined in?

A(63): No clear answer.

Q(64): We should change the thing about requiring candidates to be members of AUCSA for a full academic year.

A(64): Roelant: Let's change that into 'candidates must be members for as long as they are elected to be in office'.

Amendment: change to Article 7.2. The last sentence is changed and now reads:

Candidates must be members for as long as they are elected to be in office.

Voting on Motion 16: Accepted

Q(65): Can someone apply for one or more functions?

A(65): Roelant: Yes, but you'll only be recommended once by the Advisory Council.

Voting on Motion 17: Accepted

Article 8

AUCSA Board elections. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 18: Accepted

Article 9

Q(66): Should 9.b be a subclause to point a.?

A(66): Roelant: We can have subclause b joining subclause a.

Q(67): Sarafien: was she chosen because she was the best suited or because she was the most liked?

A(67): Roelant: I could live with all candidates, they could all have been suitable. Gijs: And of course we chose her because of her capabilities first.

Suggested amendment (but later retracted): The AUCSA Board proposes a new member for the AUCSA Board to the GA, but the other candidates are still in the run for an election which will be had at the GA.

There are calls for a system in which that the Election Committee proposes a candidate to the Board of AUCSA, instead of the Board proposing its own candidate. However, no amendment is submitted yet, as discussion was drawn out. Instead, we opted to return to this issue after voting on Article 23, so that Frederique may have time to write down an amendment.

Therefore, we now proceed to voting on the entire Article as it stands (it can still be altered by an amendment later in the GA, when Frederique submits it).

Voting on Motion 19: Rejected

Amendment: Article 9.b to become a subclause of Article 9.a

Voting on Motion 20: Accepted

Now we proceed to voting on the entire Article, since the vote was initially rejected.

Voting on Motion 21: Accepted

Article 10

Roelant explains changes.

Regarding the transition period. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 22: Accepted

Article 11

Roelant explains changes.

Q(68): Could you explain why minutes are confidential?

A(68): Roelant: AUCSA minutes are confidential, because we talk about committees and persons specifically.

Q(69): What about abstracts of minutes?

A(69): Roelant: You should not be able to subscribe to the abstracts of our minutes, but you can request information on specific cases. This means no mailing lists will be kept.

Voting on Motion 23: Accepted

Article 12

Regarding fraternities etc. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 24: Accepted

Article 13

Contact information added. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 25: Accepted

Article 14

Added all vacancies should be open. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 26: Accepted

Article 15

We added: committee boards should abide by the committee contract. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 27: Accepted

Article 16

Roelant explains changes.

Q(70): Why the list?

A(70): Roelant: Yes, the General Assembly might request an impression of who attends certain events in order to better judge budget proposals.

Voting on Motion 28: Accepted

Article 17

Roelant explains changes.

Voting on Motion 29: Accepted

Article 18

Roelant explains changes.

Voting on Motion 30: Accepted

Article 19

Regarding the financial reimbursement for the AUCSA Board, we felt that the members should be aware of any compensation we receive.

Q(71): Koen: does AUCSA not get the membership fee directly, or from AUC?

A(71): Roelant : A separate membership fee for AUCSA could not be included in the tuition fee, because the UvA does not give us that option. However, AUC grants us 9000 euros extra instead.

Q(72): I have heard that SC that members can take less courses? How about AUCSA Board?

A(72): Louis: AUC does not allow course reduction, because they want us to finish AUC within three years. However, being a board member of AUCSA or Student Council could possibly count as an internship or community project. We are still looking into that.

Voting on Motion 31: Accepted

Article 20

Regarding the Audit Committee. *Roelant explains changes.*

Q(73): How can both association year and club year be used?

A(73): Roelant: 'Club year' will everywhere be changed into 'association year'.

Amendment: throughout the Policy Manual, 'club year' will be changed to 'association year'

Voting on Motion 32: Accepted

Now we go on to vote on the entire Article.

Voting on Motion 33: Accepted

Article 21

Sanctions. *Roelant explains changes.*

Q(74): 21.2 change 'Following expulsion' to 'Following a sanction from the AUCSA'

A(74): Roelant: We replace 'expulsion' with 'a sanction' by the AUCSA.

Amendment: Under Article 21.2, 'Following expulsion from the AUCSA' is changed to 'Following a sanction from the AUCSA'.

Voting on Motion 34: Accepted

Article 22

Appeals. *Roelant explains changes.*

Voting on Motion 35: Accepted

Article 23

Committee contracts added. Articles by the UCSRN added. *Roelant explains changes.*

Q(75): What are the UCSRN articles?

A(75): Roelant: It is the guidelines of how the Dutch UCs should work together. (You can find them at www.ucsrn.nl).

Q(76): What does it mean 'by the AUCSA Board'?

A(76): Roelant: The committee contract can be changed by the AUCSA board.

Voting on Motion 36: Accepted

Amendment to Article 9

Amendment: following the sentence, "Article 6 and 7 of this Policy Manual", of Article 9.2 the amendment reads:

the Election Committee may propose a new member to the AUCSA Board, who will propose this member to the GA

- a) The AUCSA Board may veto this proposal, should it have strong reasons to do so. These reasons need to be shared with the Election Committee in written form. Then the Election Committee proposes a new member to the AUCSA Board.

Voting on Motion 37: Accepted

Last vote

Vote about the renumbering and the table of contents. This is the final vote on the Policy Manual, and will mean that we will adopt the Policy Manual as amended through the motions above.

Voting on Motion 38: Accepted

The Policy Manual, with its amendments, has been accepted by the GA!

MyAUC.nl Calendar Explanation

Done by Gijs. Both Solace and OnStage asked to be added to the calendar.

AOB

None

Closing